

Coaching Beyond the Course

A practical pathway for mentoring, peer learning, observation and feedback



COACH VIN BLAINE | GAME CHANGER COACHING LIBRARY | FREE EBOOK

How to use this eBook

Read Part One to understand why a course needs a continuous development pathway. In Part Two, choose one coach behaviour to improve and use the six-week cycle, mentor conversation, observation record and peer meeting format to test it in practice. The worksheets can be copied into your own planning system.

Part One adapts Coach Vin Blaine's article, Coach Development Beyond the Coaching Course. Part Two turns the ideas into actions for individual coaches, mentors, clubs and federations.

Part One: The continuous pathway

The course is a starting point

A coaching course can introduce sound principles, provide a common language and challenge coaches to examine what they do. It can also create energy. Coaches leave with new practices, fresh ideas and a qualification that confirms a level of learning. Yet the greatest test begins after the final assessment, when the coach returns to the training field and must apply those ideas with real players, limited time, uneven attendance, competition pressure and the expectations of parents or club leaders.

This is where coach development can easily stall. Knowledge presented on a course does not automatically become effective behaviour. A coach may understand the value of questioning but revert to constant instruction when a session becomes untidy. Another may design an excellent practice but struggle to observe the details that matter. A third may know the game model but fail to connect it to the needs of an individual player. These are not simply knowledge gaps. They are problems of interpretation, judgment, habit and confidence, and they are best addressed while the coach is working in context.

Continuous development therefore requires more than a calendar of courses. It needs a pathway that surrounds the coach with purposeful support between formal qualifications. Mentoring, peer learning, observation and feedback should not operate as separate projects. When designed well, they form a repeated cycle: the coach identifies a need, plans an improvement, tries it in practice, gathers evidence, reflects with another person and decides what to adjust next. The course supplies important foundations; the continuous pathway helps the coach turn those foundations into reliable coaching practice.

Why continuous development matters in youth football

Youth coaches work in a learning environment where decisions affect much more than the next result. They shape how young players understand the game, respond to mistakes, relate to teammates and view themselves as learners. The coach must manage technical and tactical development alongside growth, maturation, motivation, welfare and enjoyment. Because players change rapidly, an approach that worked with one group or age may be unsuitable for another. The coach must keep learning too.

Formal education cannot anticipate every situation. Courses normally use selected topics, controlled practical tasks and limited assessment time. Daily coaching is less predictable. A late arrival changes the numbers, a goalkeeper is absent, the field is smaller than expected, or a planned practice does not create the football problem the coach intended. Continuous

development helps coaches learn from these moments instead of treating them as private successes or failures.

It also reduces the isolation common in youth coaching. Many coaches prepare alone, deliver alone and judge their own work without a dependable reference point. In that situation, familiar habits can become invisible. A supportive colleague can notice who receives attention, how long interventions last, whether the practice rewards the desired decisions and how players respond. The purpose is not to create dependency or to police coaches. It is to make professional reflection part of normal work.

Build one connected development cycle

The strongest pathway begins with the coach's real environment. Development goals should come from the team's needs, the coach's self-assessment, observation evidence and the expectations of the playing philosophy. A broad aim such as 'improve communication' is difficult to practise or measure. A useful goal describes a behaviour: 'During the next four sessions, I will use shorter interventions and ask players to explain the cues for playing forward.' This gives the coach something specific to plan, attempt and review.

Each cycle can run for four to six weeks. At the start, the coach and mentor agree one priority and define what better practice would look like. The coach then tries the behaviour in normal sessions. A peer may observe one session, or a short video may provide evidence. Feedback focuses on the agreed goal. The coach selects one adjustment and repeats the process. At the end of the cycle, the coach records what changed, what remains difficult and what the players' responses suggest. A new goal is then selected.

Keeping the cycle narrow is important. Coaches often return from education events with too many ideas and attempt to change everything at once. One meaningful priority allows repetition and makes progress visible. It also respects workload. Continuous development succeeds when it fits into coaching rather than becoming a separate administrative burden.

Mentoring that develops independent coaches

A mentor is not simply a more experienced coach who provides answers. Effective mentoring helps another coach examine decisions, understand consequences and develop the capacity to solve future problems. The relationship should be based on trust, clarity and appropriate challenge. The mentee needs to know that uncertainty can be discussed without embarrassment and that observations will be used for development, not hidden selection or disciplinary purposes.

The first meeting should establish expectations. The pair should agree how often they will meet, how sessions will be observed, what information remains confidential and how development goals will be recorded. They should also discuss the coach's team, experience,

ambitions, pressures and preferred way of learning. A mentor who ignores context may offer advice that is technically sound but impossible to apply.

Good mentoring conversations move from evidence to reflection and then to action. The mentor might ask, 'What did you want the players to recognize?' 'What did you notice before you stopped the practice?' 'Which players solved the problem without help?' or 'If you repeated this session, what would you keep?' These questions invite the coach to think. Direct advice still has a place, particularly where player welfare, safety or a clear technical misunderstanding is involved, but it should be explained and connected to the coach's situation.

Mentors also need preparation. Being an expert coach does not automatically make someone an effective mentor. Mentor training should cover listening, questioning, bias, confidentiality, safeguarding, feedback and the difference between supporting and assessing. A manageable caseload is equally important. A mentor assigned to too many coaches will default to occasional messages and generic advice. Consistent, shorter contact is more useful than a long conversation every few months.

Peer learning as part of normal practice

Peer learning makes the knowledge inside a coaching community visible and shareable. Coaches at similar levels often face comparable problems and can offer practical support without the status difference that sometimes exists in formal mentoring. The aim, however, is not to exchange drills without context. A practice only has value when the coach understands the problem it addresses, the behaviours it encourages, the players for whom it is appropriate and how it may need to be adapted.

Small peer groups of three to five coaches work well because everyone can contribute. They might meet monthly in person or online for 45 minutes. One coach presents a current challenge, such as helping wide players recognize when to stay wide or move inside. The group clarifies the game situation, discusses what players currently do, examines possible causes and suggests ways to observe or train the problem. The presenting coach chooses what to test and reports back at the next meeting.

Other useful formats include co-planning a session, reviewing a short video clip, visiting another age group and conducting a lesson study in which coaches jointly plan, observe and revise one practice. Rotating leadership prevents the group from depending on one voice. Ground rules should require respectful disagreement, equal participation, protection of player information and a clear link between discussion and action.

Peer learning should also cross age groups and roles. A foundation-phase coach may help a performance-phase coach simplify communication, while the older-age coach may offer insight into how early habits influence later tactical demands. Goalkeeper coaches, physical-development staff and team managers can add perspectives that field coaches may

miss. This exchange strengthens the pathway across the whole programme.

Observation that collects useful evidence

Observation often creates anxiety because coaches associate it with assessment. Developmental observation must feel different. Its purpose is to help the coach see practice more accurately. Before the session, the coach and observer agree on the focus and the type of evidence to collect. The observer should not try to record everything.

If the focus is player engagement, the observer might track how many players answer questions, how long players are active and which players receive individual contact. If the focus is decision-making, the observer might note whether the practice repeatedly presents the intended game cues and what decisions players make. If the focus is interventions, the observer could record their timing, length, purpose and effect on the next passage of play. These simple measures make the later conversation concrete.

The observer should understand the session objective before judging what occurs. A quiet coach may be intentionally allowing players to explore. A stop that appears disruptive may address a safety concern. A practice with frequent mistakes may be appropriately difficult. Observation without context invites superficial conclusions. A short pre-session conversation prevents much of this misunderstanding.

Video can strengthen observation because the coach can revisit moments and compare intention with behaviour. It does not require sophisticated equipment; a phone placed safely at the side of the field may be enough. Permission, safeguarding and secure storage must be addressed before recording young players. Clips should be brief and selected for the agreed development purpose. Recording every session creates more data, but not necessarily more learning.

Feedback that coaches can use

Feedback is useful when it is timely, specific, evidence based and connected to a goal the coach understands. 'Good session' may encourage the coach but provides little direction. 'Your first question asked the players to identify the defender's position, and their next three decisions were quicker' identifies a coaching action and a possible effect. Equally, 'You stopped the practice four times in six minutes, and each restart lasted less than twenty seconds' gives the coach evidence to interpret rather than a label such as 'you overcoached.'

The coach should speak first in the feedback conversation. Asking what went as planned, what surprised the coach and which moment deserves review reveals the coach's thinking. The observer can then add evidence, explore differences in interpretation and agree the next action. This approach protects ownership. Coaches are more likely to test an

adjustment they helped select than a list of corrections imposed on them.

Feedback should balance reinforcement and challenge, but it does not need an artificial formula. Praise only what should be repeated, and explain why it mattered. Address the highest-value improvement rather than every weakness observed. Separate the person from the behaviour: the issue is the timing of an intervention, not whether someone is a 'good' or 'bad' coach. When a serious concern affects safety, dignity or safeguarding, feedback must be direct and the appropriate procedure followed.

Written feedback should be brief. A useful record contains the agreed goal, two or three evidence points, the coach's reflection, one next action and the date of follow-up. Long reports often shift attention from learning to compliance. The record should help the next conversation begin, not exist merely to prove that an observation occurred.

The role of the coach in directing development

A continuous pathway works best when coaches are active participants. Each coach should maintain a simple development plan, request observation on genuine problems and collect evidence of learning. Evidence may include a revised session plan, a short reflection, player feedback, a video clip, notes from a peer discussion or an example of how a practice changed over several weeks. The quality of reflection matters more than the size of a portfolio.

Reflection should connect coaching behaviour to player response. Instead of writing, 'The session went well,' the coach might record, 'The area was too wide, so the defending team rarely had to work together. After reducing the width, the nearest defender pressed and the second defender covered more consistently.' This identifies the relationship between design, behaviour and learning. It also creates knowledge the coach can use again.

Coaches should be encouraged to seek player perspectives in age-appropriate ways. Simple questions such as 'What were you trying to notice?' 'When did the practice become difficult?' and 'What helped you learn?' can reveal whether the session objective was understood. Player opinion does not replace coaching judgment, but it adds evidence and models a learning culture in which young people have a voice.

Responsibilities of clubs and federations

Organizations determine whether continuous development becomes culture or remains an optional extra. Leaders should allocate time, identify trained mentors and communicate that developmental observation is different from formal assessment. They should recognize participation without turning every conversation into a certification requirement. When coaches believe that honest reflection could threaten selection or employment, they will protect themselves rather than expose uncertainty.

Access must also be considered. Volunteer coaches, coaches in distant districts and coaches with family or work responsibilities may not attend frequent central meetings. A blended model can combine local peer groups, occasional field visits, short online mentoring and shared resources. Travel support, data access and sensible scheduling may be more valuable than adding another compulsory workshop.

The pathway should align with the organization's football vision while allowing coaches to adapt to their players. Shared principles might include player-centred behaviour, game-based learning, respect, safety and a clear link between the playing model and session design. Alignment should not produce identical sessions. Coaches still need to read their group, make decisions and explain why a chosen method is appropriate.

Programme leaders should review impact through several sources: coach retention, participation in learning cycles, the quality of development goals, changes observed in practice and feedback from coaches and players. Completion numbers alone say little about development. The most important question is whether coaches are becoming more capable of observing football problems, making informed decisions and supporting players over time.

A practical twelve month pathway

A simple annual model can begin with an individual needs conversation and self-assessment. During the first quarter, coaches establish one development goal, meet their mentor and complete a baseline observation. In the second quarter, peer groups co-plan and observe a practice linked to that goal. The third quarter can include a targeted workshop chosen from patterns emerging across the groups, followed by another cycle of application and feedback. In the final quarter, coaches present a short account of one change in their practice, the evidence behind it and the next question they want to explore.

Formal courses and continuing professional development events fit inside this model. Their content should respond to needs revealed through observations and mentoring, while course graduates should enter a follow-up cycle rather than disappear until the next licence. This connection makes formal learning more relevant and gives leaders a clearer picture of what support is needed.

The pathway does not require a large budget. It requires clear roles, protected time, simple tools and consistent follow-through. A federation might provide one observation template, a mentor conversation guide and a development-plan page. Clubs might arrange monthly peer meetings and release coaches to observe another team. District leaders might coordinate mentors and identify recurring needs. The value comes from the repeated learning process, not the volume of paperwork.

Questions for the next coaching cycle

Every youth coach can begin before a formal programme is in place. Choose one aspect of practice that you want to understand better. Ask a trusted colleague to observe only that feature. Review the evidence together, select one adjustment and test it over the next few sessions. Record what the players do differently. This small cycle is the foundation of continuous coach development.

For clubs and federations, the starting questions are equally practical. Who can provide credible mentoring? How will mentors be prepared? When can peers meet? What evidence will observers collect? How will confidentiality be protected? What follow-up will occur after courses? Clear answers turn good intentions into a pathway that coaches can trust.

The best outcome of coach education is not a coach who can repeat course content. It is a coach who can keep learning from the game, the players, colleagues and honest reflection. Mentoring provides guidance, peers provide shared inquiry, observation provides evidence and feedback turns evidence into action. Connected over time, these processes help youth coaches improve in the environment where their work matters most: the training field.

Part Two: Build a Learning System Coaches Can Use

1. Choose a development goal that can be observed

Begin with a football problem and identify the coach behaviour that may influence it. “Become a better coach” cannot guide an observation. “During three practices, allow the players to finish a sequence before intervening, then ask what they noticed about pressure” can. The goal names a setting, a behaviour and an opportunity to gather evidence. It does not presume that fewer interventions always produce better learning.

Use a short goal statement: When [football situation] occurs, I will [coaching behaviour] so that players can [observable action]. For example: “When our midfielders receive under pressure, I will ask what they saw before receiving, then replay a similar situation so they can adjust their scanning and body orientation.” Check the goal against your players' needs. If the team rarely faces the situation in the practice, redesign the environment before judging your coaching.

At the end of a cycle, ask what changed in the coach's behaviour and what changed in the players' decisions. Those are related questions, but they are not identical. A coach may ask stronger questions while players still need more exposure. A player may improve through maturation or prior experience. Treat observation as evidence for a next decision, not proof of a single cause.

2. Run a six-week development cycle

****Week 1: Agree the question.**** The coach describes a real challenge, the mentor asks for examples, and together they define one behaviour to test. The mentor observes a normal session or watches a short clip as a baseline. They agree what to count or note and what information will remain private.

****Week 2: Co-plan.**** The coach drafts one practice linked to the goal. The mentor tests the design with questions: What football problem will the players face? What decisions are possible? When might you intervene? The coach remains responsible for the plan.

****Week 3: Try and observe.**** A peer or mentor watches the agreed behaviour. Record time-stamped examples and player responses. Avoid turning the visit into an assessment of every aspect of the session.

****Week 4: Discuss and adjust.**** The coach speaks first. Compare intention, evidence and interpretation. Agree one change, such as shortening a stoppage, moving a target or asking a different question.

****Week 5: Try again with a changed picture.**** Keep the same learning objective but change numbers, space or defensive behaviour. This tests whether the coach can recognize the principle in a new context rather than repeat a rehearsed session.

****Week 6: Review and decide.**** Compare examples from weeks 1 and 5. Note where the coach adapted well, where the player response remains unclear and what to investigate next. Retain a short record and begin the next cycle with one new priority.

The cycle can be compressed for volunteers or extended around competitions. What matters is the sequence: purpose, action, evidence, conversation and another attempt.

3. Make mentoring conversations specific

A mentor conversation works best when both people arrive with one situation. Start with the coach's own account: "What were you trying to help the players see?" Ask for the picture at the moment of intervention: ball position, pressure, teammates, available spaces and player action. Then compare that account with what the observer recorded. Do not begin with a verdict about the coach's personality.

A 20-minute conversation structure

- Minutes 0-3: Coach names the intention and one moment to review.
- Minutes 3-8: Observer shares two or three neutral examples, including the player response after the intervention.
- Minutes 8-13: Together consider possible explanations. Was the task too easy, too hard, unclear or poorly timed? Did a different player solve it?
- Minutes 13-17: Coach chooses one adjustment and describes how to test it in the next session.
- Minutes 17-20: Agree what evidence to collect and when to follow up.

The mentor should offer direct technical advice when needed, especially if a practice is unsafe or the football concept is misunderstood. Otherwise, ask enough to expose the decision and then let the coach make it. The aim is a coach who can diagnose future situations without the mentor present.

4. Observe without grading the whole coach

An observation form should fit the question. For a goal about interventions, record each stoppage: time, reason, duration, question or instruction, restart and player response. For a goal about participation, sample who acts in the practice and who answers questions. For a goal about game realism, record whether the intended cue actually appears and whether players have more than one viable solution.

Use the same terms across observations. An “opportunity” should mean the relevant game picture was present, not simply that the ball was in play. A “player response” should describe what happened after the coaching behaviour, not an impression that players “looked engaged.” A concise record might read: “18:32, winger received against inside pressure; coach stopped for 70 seconds and asked the group where the fullback was; on restart, two players looked at the fullback before passing.” That record allows a useful conversation without pretending the brief change proves learning.

Ask permission before recording children, follow the club or federation's safeguarding arrangements, and store clips only for the agreed purpose. If a video cannot be used, timed notes and a post-session conversation still provide useful evidence.

5. Turn peer meetings into small experiments

A peer group should finish with an action, not a collection of unrelated drills. Rotate who brings a problem. Give that coach five minutes to present the game situation and a short clip or written description. Let the group ask clarifying questions before offering solutions. Next, propose two practice designs that make the cue visible. The presenting coach chooses one, names what evidence to collect and reports back at the next meeting.

****Example peer task: scanning to play forward.**** A coach reports that midfielders turn into pressure. The group asks whether the players can see the defender before the pass, whether receiving angles are realistic and whether the practice has a forward target. One proposal adds a defender who can press from either side and a forward target that rewards turning when safe. Another changes the ball source so the receiver must update the picture as the pass travels. The coach tests one design and brings two observations back. This is peer learning because the group studies a problem and its consequences, rather than merely sharing an exercise.

Across districts or age groups, use a short shared record: situation; proposed adjustment; result; next question. It helps another coach adapt the insight without treating the practice as a universal recipe.

6. Give feedback that leads to a next action

Feedback should name a moment, describe the coach's action, describe the player response and invite interpretation. For example: "At 18 minutes you paused after three midfielders received square. You asked where the pressure came from. On the restart, two scanned before the ball travelled; one still received closed. What would you change for that third player?" This is more useful than "ask more questions."

The next step should be small enough to attempt within a week. If the practice design itself never creates the cue, change the task before changing the coach's tone. If the cue occurs but the intervention arrives too late, adjust when the coach speaks. If players can state the answer but cannot act under pressure, add more realistic opposition and repetitions. Let the evidence determine where to intervene.

7. Ready-to-use planning pages

Coach development plan

- Team, age group and coaching context: _____
- One football problem observed in players: _____
- Coach behaviour I want to examine: _____
- My goal for the next four to six weeks: _____
- Session in which the cue should appear: _____
- Evidence a peer or mentor will collect: _____
- Player response I hope to see: _____
- Date for feedback and next attempt: _____

Observation record

- Agreed focus: _____
- Time and situation: _____
- Coach action: _____
- Player response before and after: _____
- Alternative explanation or limitation: _____
- One question for feedback: _____

Feedback record

- Coach's interpretation: _____

- Two concrete evidence points: _____
- One action to repeat: _____
- One adjustment to test: _____
- Next observation date and focus: _____

Peer meeting record

- Coach and situation presented: _____
- Key clarification questions: _____
- Two possible practice changes: _____
- Selected test and expected player response: _____
- What the coach will bring back: _____

8. Organize a twelve-month pathway with a light touch

In months 1–3, introduce mentors, agree boundaries and establish baseline goals. In months 4–6, pair observations with monthly peer conversations. In months 7–9, select workshops from recurring needs revealed by the groups, then return to field application. In months 10–12, invite each coach to present one change in practice, the evidence that informed it and a new question. Participation should be possible through local visits, short online meetings or shared clips when coaches cannot travel.

Programme leaders should ask whether the pathway changes what coaches can notice and do, not only how many meetings were held. Review a small sample of development goals and follow-up records. Speak with coaches about whether they trust the process. Ask players what they are learning in sessions. Keep developmental observations separate from formal employment or licence assessments, and make any exceptions explicit from the start.

The course can begin a coach's learning, but sustained improvement needs another chance to try, observe and adjust. A reliable pathway makes that opportunity normal.

Reflection prompt

What is one coaching behaviour you will invite a colleague to observe during your next three sessions, and what player response will tell you whether it is improving?